Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

Operating Room Biodegradable Instrument Use Attitudes of the Surgical Team

Abduleilah yahya abumaghayed
King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences (KSAU-HS)
Abady50565@gmail.com
Fayez Alshammari
King Khalid Hospital Hail
Nursing Specialist
Fayezjaz94@gmail.com
Faris alanazi
King Khalid Hospital Hail
Nursing Specialist
falanazi87@moh.gov.sa
Fahad Alshammari
Nursing specielist
Faaqalshammari@moh.gov.sa
Bassam alshammari
Nursing specialist
Eradah complex for mental health hail
Balshammari8@moh.gov.sa
Ammash alshammari
Eradah Complex and Mental Health - Hail
Nursing specialist
ammashma@moh.gov.sa
Khalil Alshammari
Nursing specialist
Classification number: 12HN0036876
Khshalshammari@moh.gov.sa
Abdullah Alreshidi
Nursing specialist
Classification number: 13BN0024929
Abaalreshidi@moh.gov.sa
manal alrashidy
Nursing specialist
Classification number: 15RN0027672
maaalrashidy@moh.gov.sa
Amal alrashidi
Nursing specialist
Classification number: 13RN0033241
Amaalrashidi@Moh.gov.sa
khalid alreshidi

nursing specialist
Classification number: 13HT0003016
Hail General Hospital
kaalreshidi@moh.gov.sa
Name:Maha Alsuhaimi
General dentist
Classification number: 21331304
Place of work:Dr Khalid a Ruh Ainu medical complex
Email: Mahasuhaimi80@gmail.com
Mamdouh Nafel Alrshidi
Nursing technician
mamdoh004@gmail.com
Ashraf Baboor
Periodontic consultant
Classification number:
14MD0023754
ababoor@moh.gov.sa
Rozan Radwan
Pharmacist
Classification number:
15mpoo22534
rmradwan@moh.gov.sa

Abstract

The healthcare sector generates considerable volumes of trash, most notably in operating rooms (ORs), which aggravates global environmental damage. The aim of this study was to assess recycling policies used in different surgical disciplines worldwide in operating rooms, therefore pointing up significant challenges and areas for development. Governmental constraints, inadequate knowledge, poor leadership, and organizational problems are among the several elements that complicate the implementation of recycling ideas. The effective completion of projects entails the application of specialized recycling operations aimed on certain materials, such blue wrap and polyethylene terephthalate plastics. The participation of leadership and the set policies help these projects to be supported. The results of the research underline the need of using a whole plan including strategic alliances with companies, education, and regulatory reform to effectively address these challenges. Effective recycling techniques in operating rooms help healthcare facilities to combine waste management with more general environmental sustainability goals, therefore reducing their impact on the environment and enhancing their resource efficiency.

Keywords

eliminating, sustainable practices, Operating room, Surgical Team, evolution

List of environmental issues facing healthcare.

Globally, healthcare facilities are among the main causes of waste generation and environmental degradation; this is being increasingly acknowledged (1–4). One percent of the solid trash generated in rich nations including the United States, Australia, and the United Kingdom comes from hospitals; they also account for as much as two percent of the yearly greenhouse gas emissions (2, 5, 6). Concerns about the spread of infectious diseases drove the switch from reusable to single-use plastic products, which has greatly worsened this issue and caused a notable increase in the generated garbage. The growing volume of waste generated in the healthcare sector worldwide has made operations reevaluated necessary and waste minimization given top priority while still preserving sterility and quality standards (7, 8).

Operating room waste: An international viewpoint

Often referred to as ORs, operating rooms contribute significantly to hospital trash since they generate too much waste that makes around 20–33% of the total waste produced by hospitals (9–13).

Hospital waste is broken out into several waste streams, each of which need for a specialized set of techniques for safe treatment, neutralizing, and disposal. There are chances for significant cost savings since some hospital waste is like that of household waste and can be recycled or used. Garbage's improper classification as "clinical" or hazardous leads to ineffective recycling methods and higher disposal costs. Most people agree that the main causes of the improper waste classification are a poor sorting system and ignorance of information. Programs for trash separation and educational activities have been found to help to improve the appropriate disposal of waste and save major costs for hospitals (11, 14–19).

Operating rooms are seen as a special chance for concentrated waste reduction initiatives that can lead to financial savings and environmental benefits (2, 11–12). This is so as the search for "green hospitals" acknowledges operating rooms as a special potential. To minimize the detrimental consequences of garbage on the surroundings, a comprehensive strategy is needed. This covers appropriately classifying waste, maximizing the 5Rs—that is, refuse, reduce, reuse, and recycle—by means of education and conscientious consumerism, and so lower the total

amount of trash. All of this must happen while avoiding inadequate waste management to stop damage to either patients or hospital personnel (12).

Giving surgeons and other operating room staff leadership positions helps to build a culture of resource economy. This not only emphasizes the importance of environmental awareness in medical environments but also provides healthcare professionals the chance to show their leadership (20).

The purpose and scope of the sustainability in surgical practice (SSP) task force

People are worried about climate change everywhere, endangering human health and maybe bringing about world catastrophe. Sustainability and personal, family, and community well-being all around are correlated. With more than five percent of the emissions of greenhouse gases, the healthcare sector significantly affects the worldwide carbon footprint. Furthermore in charge of 8.5% of the United States' overall greenhouse gas emissions is the industry. Quick intervention is needed to reduce the degree of these consequences. The running room generates a lot of waste and uses a lot of energy, which affects the sustainability of healthcare institutions greatly (20).

Initiating the European Green Deal in December 2019, the European Commission aimed to have Europe the first continent in the world free of greenhouse gas emissions. The European Union passed climate guidelines in 2021 that set a target to reduce net greenhouse gas emissions by at least 55% by 2030 in comparison to the levels that existed in 1990 and demanded the attainment of climate neutrality by the year 2050. Launched by the United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), the White House-HHS Health Sector Climate Pledge in 2022 aims to lower emissions by half by 2030 and achieve net zero emissions by the year 2025. This was carried out understanding that eight and a half percent of the greenhouse gas emissions in the United States come from the health sector. Among the organizations signing the statement were

hospitals, suppliers, insurance companies, group buying groups, pharmaceutical and device makers, and professional medical and surgical associations like SAGES (22). Many different universities signed the document. Working with the federal government and other healthcare organizations, the National Academy of Medicine of the United States of America started a Grand Challenge to help the US Health System be decarbonized (23).

Established (24) originally in commemoration of the major contributions made by the EAES and SAGES organizations to the development of surgical excellence and sustainability, the Sustainability in Surgical Practice (SSP) Taskforce was first Especially in the context of minimally invasive surgery, the major goals of this partnership are to educate surgeons and their teams as well as interact with strategic partners to establish and preserve practices that lower the environmental effect of surgical operations.

Green operating rooms: Significance and impact

For innumerable years, there has been a clear trend of fast technical developments and continuous scientific growth. Still, the concept of sustainability has only lately started to attract interest even although it is now equally crucial. Since surgery falls under this category, sustainability and leadership in surgical climate initiatives should take front stage in the healthcare sector. Establishing Green Operating Rooms is a major first step toward the execution of morally, ethically, and environmentally friendly medical treatments with efficiency. Modern sustainable healthcare depends on environmentally friendly operating rooms totally. Operating rooms should be given top importance in the application of sustainable practices since they are accountable for a significant volume of waste and greenhouse gas emissions. Dramatically cutting utility costs, lowering expenses, minimizing carbon emissions, supporting social justice, and so supporting the fundamental goal of healthcare—that is, to increase health and well-being—25 Using waste-reducing programs and energy-efficient technologies will help to achieve this. Sustainable operational practices have the ability to improve indoor air quality and reduce harmful emissions, therefore benefiting public health and safety. These issues disproportionately affect ethnic groups and lower income populations. Adopting sustainable practices and Green Operations Research approaches helps companies to satisfy consumer expectations and protect themselves in an environment experiencing fast change (26).

The role of societies and surgeons in promoting and implementing sustainable surgical practices

The evolution of sustainable surgical practices depends on surgical groups such as EAES and SAGES, which together count more than 10,000 members dedicated to endoscopic and minimally invasive surgery, playing a major influence in the direction of these practices. Their great responsibility is to advise surgeons and surgical teams about the impact of their operations on the surroundings. This is particularly relevant in the elective setting and relates to the increasing use of less invasive surgical technologies including laparoscopy and robotic surgery in combination with an unparalleled dependence on equipment just used once (27).

Knowing the elements that make up "carbon hotspots" in the operating theater helps surgical teams to modify their approaches and apply small changes to reduce the carbon footprint without compromising the standard of treatment given to patients. To achieve this, it is imperative to inform the members on the exact contributions that electricity, anesthetic drugs, procedural techniques (open, laparoscopic, or robotic), reusable rather than disposable supplies and tools (including procurement), and surgical waste make to the total footprint of the operation. Societies can support best practices by creating teaching tools, writing policies, or working with other groups and stakeholders. Research and innovation-oriented surgical societies that produce data to support government policies and promote practice changes should support, sponsor, and distribute such studies. To advocate policies that promote climate action and boost climate resilience within the healthcare system, surgical societies should cooperate and participate in worldwide advocacy initiatives including a range of stakeholders (28).

To follow legal requirements, it is absolutely crucial to support enhancements that support recycling practices while preserving safety in order. Establishing a strong basis for institutions would be achieved by the development and spread of accepted waste segregation guidelines, which would be fit for the specific setting of operating rooms (29). Comparable importance lies in educational and training initiatives meant to address knowledge gaps and alter cultural attitudes on sustainability. The development of standards and the definition of objectives for the percentage of recycled or disposed of materials would give exact goals for health systems and enable the building of competitive measures at both the local and national levels (30).

The public conversation usually focuses on the discrepancy between the actual amount processed and the garbage meant for recycling. Variables like the location of the waste and the current state of the economy significantly affect this difference. This fact makes it abundantly evident that recycling systems are rather complex and that local regulations and infrastructure are also rather important. Although it is challenging to determine the exact percentages of materials that have been successfully recycled, stressing recycling in line with reduction and reuse techniques offers a complete approach to minimize environmental impact and concurrently safeguard patient safety (31).

Depending on the health system, reforms among healthcare providers can be carried either top down or from the bottom up. Proactive leadership combined with the participation of "green champions" within healthcare institutions has the power to inspire major organizational transformations required for the execution of recycling initiatives. 39; Furthermore, optimizing surgeon preference cards will help to reduce waste and expenses, increase operational efficiency, and minimize environmental effect (32).

Techniques to improve methods of recycling

According to a recent poll of colorectal surgeons, there is a strong wish to change current surgical techniques to make them more ecologically friendly and to participate in instructional webinars with an eye on sustainable practices (33). In the operating room, surgical experts can start or guide improvements; they can also coordinate or support multidisciplinary "green OR" teams inside their particular institutions. Reducing the amount of surgical waste, lowering the amount of disposable equipment used, giving items that can be reused top priority, and optimizing waste segregation in order to maximize recycling and disposal methods help to effectively minimize carbon hotspots in the operating room. Furthermore among the options are cutting back on the usage of inhalation anesthetics and improving the running efficiency of the operating room and workflow all through the perioperative period. Supported by the combined SSP SAGES and EAES commission, the 10R model of circular economy has shown to be one effective way to improve the sustainability of surgical operations. The objectives of this project are to keep a high degree of patient care while simultaneously cutting waste, lowering costs, and raising environmental efficiency. As well as virtual alternatives to in-person meetings and conferences, which are known to annually produce

a large amount of trash and carbon emissions from both air and land travel, surgeons may choose to embrace more ecologically friendly ways of life and modes of transportation (34). Surgeons, surgical techniques, leadership inside the health system, and industry efforts targeted at improving surgical sustainability can greatly affect the carbon footprint of the healthcare system.

Promoting sustainability in surgical operations: a must for action.

Although patient care depends on the operating room, its influence on the surroundings is really significant. Every single surgical operation is in charge of resource depletion and greenhouse gas emission. This covers the generation of garbage and the energy usage. Healthcare organizations have to give environmental sustainability top attention if they are to carry out their main duties. Medical professionals—including surgeons, doctors, nurses, anesthesiologists, managers of health systems, companies, and regulatory authorities—have to cooperate to improve the spread of successful and evidence-based methods. Serving as the main source of knowledge, education, and the transmission of evidence-supported best practices, surgical societies are vital. It makes no difference what tools are at hand; the ideas of environmental care apply anywhere. Transnational cooperation, the sharing of outstanding practices, and the gathering of data from many backgrounds will define the acceleration of progress. As well as possibilities, the operating room offers several difficulties. As stewards of the environment and health, we have an obligation to cause a paradigm change so as to ensure the long-term survival of both policies and the earth (21).

Being the biggest publicly funded healthcare system in the world and the main employer in Europe, the National Health Service (NHS) has a great responsibility to lead the way in applying emission-reducing techniques and to act as a benchmark for others to follow in terms of best practices. About 4% of England's total carbon footprint comes from the National Health Service (NHS), which emphasizes the need of acting further to minimize its impact on climate change (35).

The supply chain makes about 59% of the National Health Service's total CO2 emissions, thereby explaining a significant part of its carbon footprint. Operating theaters significantly contribute to the carbon emissions generated in the healthcare sector due to the great volume of resources they consume (36). Following a thorough investigation, it was found that one

procedure may generate anything from 6 to 814 kg of carbon dioxide—equivalent of driving a normal gasoline-powered car for more than 2,000 kilometers. The most important contributions are to the purchase of consumables, use of electricity, and use of anesthetic gases (37).

In November 2022 the three Surgical Royal Colleges in the United Kingdom published an Intercollegiate Climate Emergency Declaration. This statement recognized that "climate change and anthropogenic environmental degradation pose a substantial threat to both human and planetary health." They requested prompt action and provided their members with help in implementing changes inside their operational theaters (38). They asked for quick response.

About one percent of the whole waste produced in the United Kingdom comes from the healthcare sector. More than half of the waste produced by hospitals comes from operating theaters, hence it is crucial to apply environmentally friendly waste management practices (39, 40).

Products used in surgical operations that are either disposable or intended for one use and are either carbon dioxide emitters are clearly major causes of this emissions. Growing complexity of surgical systems and techniques in the most recent decades has led to a notable increase in the use of disposable devices. Still, there is a shortage of significant data showing the relevance of quality and safety for the usage of throwaway products (41).

Although changing the ways of disposal and the materials used in the manufacturing of surgical tools can create challenges, the use of surgical instrument packaging that eliminates the risks of biohazards and forbids direct human contact offers a clear and maybe reasonable approach for lowering the quantity of waste created by surgical operations. Five percent of the direct waste generated by the National Health Service in the fiscal year 2021–22 was disposed of in landfills, five percent was burned or treated to another treatment process, and sixteen percent was recycled (42). Although a different investigation found that less than 1% of waste packaging for surgical equipment was judged to be recyclable (43–46), the total proportion of waste packaging that was recycled in the United Kingdom was 63%.

With 47–51, the healthcare sector is thought to account for 4.4% of the world's carbon footprint. This covers the formation of garbage and the power usage, both of which significantly help to

contribute to the greenhouse gas emissions. This has led different health organizations to create objectives aimed at lessening the detrimental effects of healthcare operations on the surroundings. Between 4 and 5.9% of the overall national carbon emissions are thought to be caused by the National Health Service (NHS) in the United Kingdom. This has led the National Health Service (NHS) to adopt targets aiming at carbon neutrality by the year 2045 (52–55).

The National Health Service (NHS) England and the NHS Improvement board convened in October 2020 to approve a fresh approach to address climate change. While stressing energy savings, this plan mostly aims to lower emissions from supply chains, transportation, and drugs. The COVID-19 epidemic has caused a change in employee behavior, though, which the paper emphasizes. This change comprises more use of heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems as well as personal protective gear. Thus, the greening of electricity networks and supplier changes have so far produced the most notable emissions cuts. This revelation emphasizes the need of such interventions by stressing the need of focused treatments at the local institutional and personnel levels in the years to come (57).

Operating theaters (OTs) significantly contribute to the greenhouse gas emissions generated by the healthcare sector due of their energy-intensive procedures and too reliance on materials meant for a single use. Review results show that one operation can produce up to 814 kg of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e), which is equivalent to 2,273 miles driven on an average gasoline car. The data supporting the application of targeted and localized therapy shows some degree of fluctuation and limitations (58).

Evaluating the environmental effects of interventions or Life Cycle Assessments (LCA) research (59) might help one determine whether activities are pertinent. Regarding the analysis of important results, studies on life cycle assessment (LCA) could have restrictions. Among these constraints could be the assessment of long-term consequences, financial viability, and the scalability of particular interventions within a healthcare authority. By use of the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) approach, policymakers can investigate the social, environmental, and financial consequences of an action (60). Interventions with both financial and environmental advantages should be given top priority above those that merely offer one advantage. The Triple Bottom Line (TBL) paradigm gives politicians more freedom in creating answers since it does not rank

or evaluate dimensions. This is true notwithstanding particular issues in the healthcare sector, notably those related to assessing the financial benefits in respect to health. The National Health Service (NHS) England and other health authorities that support sustainability programs (61), together with empirical studies carried out by Vergunst et al. (60), show the value of retrospective TBL assessments for healthcare interventions.

Based on a recent systematic review by Almukhtar et al. (61), "knowledge" (such as awareness of sustainable practices) and "environmental context and resources" (such as personnel shortages, workload, and inadequate recycling facilities) were found as the main barriers preventing the application of environmentally sustainable practices in running theaters. This aligns with the results of the review, which indicated that the intervention components most often used in occupational therapy are "environmental constraints" and "staff education". Designed training programs are being carried out to foster behavioral change among medical personnel and create responsibility. These projects give particular equipment recommendations' financial consequences top importance. Therefore, users are driven to examine ecological costs as a result of education on the environmental repercussions of consumables and equipment, which finally leads to decisions more sustainable and a reduction in waste. Concurrently, environmental restructuring calls for observable changes in the operational activities as well as the physical surroundings.

Combining the concrete changes resulting from environmental remodeling with the knowledge gained from education allows one to have a synergistic effect. Following many projects including waste segregation (62), recycling programs (63), and package simplification (64), the amount of surgical waste in North America, Europe, and Asia has significantly dropped. This joint strategy helps to lower waste in operational technologies (OTs) by encouraging a long-lasting sense of responsibility and supplying the necessary infrastructure to preserve sustainable practices. Still, behavioral treatments may call for constant help, reminders, and a suitable environment for continued efficacy. The degree of staff engagement and motivation will determine the efficacy of these therapies; however, consistent behavior change is a difficult and multifarious task as shown by McCarthy et al. (65). It has been established that the creation of a specialized sustainability committee is a necessary stimulus for the advancement of behavioral modification in the surgical environment (66).

With the help of committed "champions," challenging behaviors—especially those related to perceived safety (such as the change to reusable items and the reprocessing of single-use surgical devices)—can be successfully executed and sustained. This approach is capable of effectively communicating the safety, effectiveness, and dependability of environmentally responsible actions. Furthermore, a committee with several staff members can provide a forum for cooperative communication, thereby facilitating the sharing of ideas and iterative plans to produce long-lasting influence and behavioral modification among several stakeholders (67). By encouraging material reuse and thereby lowering trash output, recycling projects—mostly carried out through environmental structuring methods such labeling, colored bins, garbage segregation, and the expansion of current programs—have greatly less environmental impact. The debate over recycling methods within hospital waste management makes much reference to McGain et al. (68). Comparative studies of Australia, the UK/Europe, and the USA show that switching from single-use to reusable anesthetic equipment in Australian hospitals will result in an unexpected 9% rise in emissions. By comparison, hospitals in the USA and the United Kingdom would see notable declines of 48% and 84% respectively. This obvious difference emphasizes the important influence of local industrial and infrastructure elements controlling the environmental consequences of recycling operations. Moreover, including the financial component into the decision-making process sharpens the complexity of the situation. Following the acceptance of reusable anesthetic equipment, the study predicted a 46% drop in the annual costs of an Australian hospital. By using reused personal protective equipment, a small hospital in the United Kingdom with ten elective theaters can save £83,000 year. This economic viewpoint distorts the debate by implying that financial considerations might rule even if recycling might have positive effects in particular spheres. Although interventions using a single intervention framework (IF) have shown success, they could lack the synergies generated by concurrently addressing several elements inside a comprehensive strategy. This study shows that by tackling different issues and combining several approaches, intervention packages consisting of several IFs have shown rather good efficiency in lowering the environmental impact of surgery. Many initiatives combining education, environmental change, and empowerment have produced significant waste reduction, better recycling, and increased energy economy. These packages respect the several processes and stakeholders engaged in healthcare environments, therefore offering a complete solution. The cooperation across different intervention models,

including environmental alteration and education, produces a total impact that increases general efficacy. Intervention programs not only change behavior but also help to create a sustainable culture in healthcare, therefore improving professional environmental consciousness and connecting organizational standards with environmentally friendly methods. Evaluating the results of treatments—even with a reputable framework like TBL—is challenging right now and could lead to misinterpretation; so, it is imperative to underline the urgent need of a tool able to examine and combine various results and contextual elements. The execution of treatments depends mostly on context-specific elements, so their value is great (68).

MacNeil et al. (69) underline that, as LCA studies show, a universal solution is absent. The environmental results of acts depend much on local conditions, waste management systems, and energy sources. Consistently proving to be a dependable and effective method for global healthcare sustainability, a "reduction" approach can also provide a basic guiding concept for the development of sustainability projects.

Waste produced by medical institutions, especially running rooms, has a significant effect on the surroundings. The present situation and difficulties of implementing efficient recycling programs in hospital environments are underlined in this research. Although OR waste contains a significant amount of recyclable materials, institutional obstacles include legal difficulties and insufficient knowledge of recycling techniques compromise efficient waste management options. Notwithstanding obvious environmental and financial incentives for recycling, disparities in recycling policies persist across various geographical locations and institutional systems (66–69).

Value of recycling in the operating theater

Two objectives of using effective recycling techniques in operating rooms are guaranteeing financial viability and encouraging environmental responsibility. The integration of the healthcare industry with worldwide sustainability goals calls for the necessary waste management optimization in operating rooms via recycling. This strategy not only reduces environmental impact but also reveals possible savings in costs. This assessment emphasizes the urgent requirement of upcoming solutions including large-scale recycling programs inside running rooms. Although not all studies specifically endorse recycling laws, the major impact of

healthcare activities on world waste generation places the sector in a possible leader in environmental preservation (70).

Although there is little data demonstrating a lower risk of surgical site infection with disposables over reusables, the need for sterility is linked to the increased reliance on disposable items. Single-use products worsen non-biodegradable trash, which emphasizes the importance of creating recycling solutions that match environmental responsibility with patient safety. Such initiatives might be essential in changing company behavior and policies to support better environmental responsibility (71).

Dealing with challenges for operating room recycling.

The results throw light on several important challenges that prevent the application of recycling practices in operating rooms. Among these barriers include safety issues, poor knowledge and education, leadership difficulties, legal constraints, and logistical difficulties. The linked character of these limitations makes the execution of efficient recycling programs very difficult.

Advocacy of ideas that will advance recycling technology simultaneously guarantees safety and helps to overcome the constraints placed by rules. The development and spread of standardized waste segregation methods especially suited for running rooms will help to build a strong framework for institutions (72). Likewise important are programs for education and training meant to close knowledge gaps and change cultural attitudes on sustainability. Setting benchmarks and targets for the proportion of recycled or disposed of materials will help health systems to have clear goals and enable the development of competitive policies on the local and national levels (73).

Depending on the health system, reforms among healthcare professionals might be carried out top down or from the bottom up. Proactive leadership and the involvement of "green champions" inside healthcare institutions might help to inspire the acceptance of recycling initiatives among those companies. Adoption of recycle programs depends on these developments. Furthermore, optimizing surgeon preference cards will help to increase operational efficiency, drastically eliminate waste and expenses, and lessen the negative environmental impact (74).

Original ideas and calculated alliances

Recent developments in recycling technologies are turning once non-recyclable materials into something else entirely different, so creating fresh opportunities for effective waste reduction. Among the improvements that could be made to raise these activities are the use of biodegradable materials, garbage segregation station optimization, and exact waste stream tracking deployment. Establishing strategic connections with manufacturers is one of the most crucial tactics since it helps to reduce the packing volume and create environmentally friendly products. These partnerships aim to increase the lifetime of medical objects by including issues about disposal and recyclability, therefore advancing operational sustainability norms (75).

If healthcare facilities apply these ideas, they can effectively combine their waste management policies with more general environmental sustainability goals and overcome the challenges related with recycling in operating rooms. All tiers of the healthcare system must coordinate their efforts during this metamorphosis. Frontline workers, legislators, and business players should all be part of this endeavor.

Conclusions

To guarantee a sustainable future, the healthcare sector must aggressively encourage and implement sustainable practices now. Among these are the encouragement of the sensible use of resources, the research of substitutes for throwaway goods, and the campaigning for the application of sustainable packaging solutions. Two great approaches to keep surgical waste to a minimum are cutting package quantities and boosting recyclable materials count. This audit shows the great amount of waste produced by packaging as well as the several kinds of trash produced by one simple task. Though a lot of the trash could be readily recycled, sadly there was insufficient labeling for the waste. Apart from eliminating extra instructional books that are easily available online, manufacturers could also affix explicit recyclability labels to packaging, change to recyclable materials whenever it is practicable to do so, and so on. By means of a local waste audit and analysis, one can enhance the sustainability of surgical operations and lower the environmental effect generated during patient care.

References

1. Lattanzio S, Stefanizzi P, Dambrosio M, Cuscianna E, Riformato G, Migliore G, et al. Waste management and the perspective of a green hospital: a systematic narrative review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19:15812. doi:10.3390/ijerph192315812

2. Wyssusek KH, Keys MT, van Zundert AAJ. Operating room greening initiatives: the old, the new, and the way forward: a narrative review. Waste Manag Res. 2018. doi:10.1177/0734242X18793937

3. Eckelman MJ, Sherman J. Environmental impacts of the U.S. health care system and effects on public health. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0157014. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157014

4. Eckelman MJ, Huang K, Lagasse R, Senay E, Dubrow R, Sherman JD. Health care pollution and public health damage in the United States: an update. Health Aff. 2020;39:2071–9. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01247

5. Lui JT, Rudmik L, Randall DR. Reducing the preoperative ecological footprint in otolaryngology. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014;151:805–10. doi:10.1177/0194599814544449

6. Stall NM, Kagoma YK, Bondy JN, Naudie D. Surgical waste audit of 5 total knee arthroplasties. Can J Surg. 2013;56:97–102. doi:10.1503/cjs.015711

7. Kagoma Y, Stall N, Rubinstein E, Naudie D. People, planet and profits: the case for greening operating rooms. CMAJ. 2012;184:1905–11. doi:10.1503/cmaj.112139

8. Laustsen G. Reduce, recycle, reuse: guidelines for promoting perioperative waste management. AORN J. 2007;85:717–22. doi:10.1016/S0001-2092(07)60146-X

9. McGain F, White S, Mossenson S, Kayak E, Story D. A survey of anesthesiologists' views of operating room recycling. Anesth Analg. 2012;114:1049–54. doi:10.1213/ANE.0b013e31824d273d

10. McGain F, Jarosz KM, Nguyen MNHH, Bates S, O'Shea CJ. Auditing operating room recycling: a management case report. A A Case Rep. 2015;5:47–50. doi:10.1213/XAA.0000000000000097

11. Azouz S, Boyll P, Swanson M, Castel N, Maffi T, Rebecca AM. Managing barriers to recycling in the operating room. Am J Surg. 2019;217:634–8. doi:10.1016/j.amjsurg.2018.06.020

12. Fraifeld A, Rice AN, Stamper MJ, Muckler VC. Intraoperative waste segregation initiative among anesthesia personnel to contain disposal costs. Waste Manag. 2021;122:124–31. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2021.01.006

13. Wu S, Cerceo E. Sustainability initiatives in the operating room. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2021;47:663–72. doi:10.1016/j.jcjq.2021.06.010

14. Ali M, Wang W, Chaudhry N, Geng Y. Hospital waste management in developing countries: a mini review. Waste Manag Res. 2017;35:581–92. doi:10.1177/0734242X17691344

15. Martin DM, Yanez ND, Treggiari MM. An initiative to optimize waste streams in the operating room: RECycling in the operating room (RECOR) project. AANA J. 2017;85:108–12.

16. Stonemetz J, Pham JC, Necochea AJ, McGready J, Hody RE, Martinez EA. Reduction of regulated medical waste using lean sigma results in financial gains for hospital. Anesthesiol Clin. 2011;29:145–52. doi:10.1016/j.anclin.2010.11.007

17. Potera C. Strategies for greener hospital operating rooms. Environ Health Perspect. 2012;120:a306–7. doi:10.1289/ehp.120-a306a

18. Francis MC, Metoyer LA, Kaye AD. Exclusion of noninfectious medical waste from the contaminated waste stream. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1997;18:656–8. doi:10.1086/647693

19. Wyssusek KH, Foong WM, Steel C, Gillespie BM. The gold in garbage: implementing a waste segregation and recycling initiative. AORN J. 2016;103:316.e1–8. doi:10.1016/j.aorn.2016.01.014

20. Babu MA, Dalenberg AK, Goodsell G, Holloway AB, Belau MM, Link MJ. Greening the operating room: results of a scalable initiative to reduce waste and recover supply costs. Neurosurgery. 2019;85:432–7. doi:10.1093/neuros/nyy275

21. Moya E, Bryant SA, Horneck N, Taylor V, Alayleh A, Alawa J, et al. Advancing sustainability in healthcare: a scoping review of global recycling practices in operating rooms. Am J Surg. 2024;116178.

22. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Health sector commitments to emissions reduction and resilience. 2024 (cited 2024 Mar 17). Available from: https://www.hhs.gov/climate-change-health-equity-environmental-justice/climate-change-health-equity/actions/health-sector-pledge/index.html

23. Dzau VJ, Levine R, Barrett G, Witty A. Decarbonizing the U.S. health sector: a call to action. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(23):2117–9. doi:10.1056/NEJMp2115675

24. Pietrabissa A, Sylla P. Green surgery: time to make a choice. Surg Endosc. 2023;37(9):6609–10. doi:10.1007/s00464-023-10229-0

25. Elkington J. The triple bottom line. Environ Managem. 1997;2:49–66.

26. Thiel CL, Eckelman M, Guido R, et al. Environmental impacts of surgical procedures: life cycle assessment of hysterectomy in the United States. Environ Sci Technol. 2015;49(3):1779–86. doi:10.1021/es504719g

27. ESCP ECOS-Surgery Study Committee. The European Society of Coloproctology collecting opinions on sustainable surgery study. Dis Colon Rectum. 2022;65(11):1297–300. doi:10.1097/DCR.0000000000002541

28. Trang K, Fung B, Lamb T, Moloo H, Wick EC. Reducing carbon footprint in travel to the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons annual meeting: striking a balance between environmental impact and collaborative opportunities. Dis Colon Rectum. 2024;67(2):197–9. doi:10.1097/DCR.0000000000003037

29. Pietrabissa A, Sylla P. Green surgery: time to make a choice. Surg Endosc. 2023;37(9):6609–10. doi:10.1007/s00464-023-10229-0

30. Sullivan GA, Reiter AJ, Hu A, et al. Operating room recycling: opportunities to reduce carbon emissions without increases in cost. J Pediatr Surg. 2023;58(11):2187–91. doi:10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2023.04.011

31. Wormer BA, Augenstein VA, Carpenter CL, et al. The green operating room: simple changes to reduce cost and our carbon footprint. Am Surg. 2013;79(7):666–71. doi:10.1177/000313481307900708

32. McGain F, Naylor C. Environmental sustainability in hospitals: a systematic review and research agenda. J Health Serv Res Policy. 2014;19(4):245–52. doi:10.1177/1355819614534836

33. ESCP ECOS-Surgery Study Committee. The European Society of Coloproctology collecting opinions on sustainable surgery study. Dis Colon Rectum. 2022;65(11):1297–300. doi:10.1097/DCR.0000000000002541

34. Trang K, Fung B, Lamb T, Moloo H, Wick EC. Reducing carbon footprint in travel to the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons annual meeting: striking a balance between environmental impact and collaborative opportunities. Dis Colon Rectum. 2024;67(2):197–9. doi:10.1097/DCR.0000000000003037

35. NHS England, NHS Improvement. Delivering a ‘Net Zero’ National Health Service. London: NHS England and NHS Improvement; 2022 (cited 2023 May).

36. NHS England. Reducing the use of natural resources in health and social care. Cambridge, UK: Sustainable Development Unit; 2018 (cited 2023 May).

37. Rizan C, Steinbach I, Nicholson R, et al. The carbon footprint of surgical operations: a systematic review. Ann Surg. 2020;272:986–95. doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000003226

38. The Royal College of Surgeons of England. Intercollegiate Climate Emergency Declaration. (cited 2024 Jun). Available from: https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/news-and-events/news/archive/intercollegiate-climate-emergency-declaration/

39. BRE Group. BRE UK Report – Waste in the NHS. (cited 2022 Oct). Available from: https://www.bre.co.uk/page.jsp?id=2699

40. Guetter CR, Williams BJ, Slama E, et al. Greening the operating room. Am J Surg. 2018;216:683–8. doi:10.1016/j.amjsurg.2018.07.041

41. Bhutta MF. Our over-reliance on single-use equipment in the operating theatre is misguided, irrational and harming our planet. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2021;103:709–12. doi:10.1308/rcsann.2021.0040

42. NHS Digital. Hospital Episode Statistics for England, 2021–22. (cited 2022 Oct). Available from: https://files.digital.nhs.uk/13/C09A72/hosp-epis-stat-admi-proc-2021-22-tab.xlsx

43. Rizan C, Bhutta MF, Reed M, Lillywhite R. The carbon footprint of waste streams in a UK hospital. J Clean Prod. 2021;286:125446.

44. NHS Digital. Estates Returns Information Collection, Summary Page and Dataset for ERIC 2021/22. (cited 2024 Jun). Available from: https://files.digital.nhs.uk/A0/8993B0/ERIC%20-%20202122%20-%20Report%20-%20v3.xlsx

45. UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra). UK Statistics on Waste Data – 2022. (cited 2024 Jun). Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1074147/UK_Statistics_on_Waste_dataset_May_2022_accessible.ods

46. Soares CTM, Ek M, Östmark E, Gällstedt M, Karlsson S. Recycling of multi-material multilayer plastic packaging: current trends and future scenarios. Resour Conserv Recycl. 2022;176:105905.

47. Campion N, Thiel CL, Woods NC, et al. Sustainable healthcare and environmental life-cycle impacts of disposable supplies: a focus on disposable custom packs. J Clean Prod. 2015;94:46–55.

48. Tan E, Lim D. Carbon footprint of dermatologic surgery. Austral J Dermatol. 2021;62:e170–7.

49. Salas RN, Maibach E, Pencheon D, et al. A pathway to net zero emissions for healthcare. BMJ. 2020;371:m3785.

50. Rizan C, Steinbach I, Nicholson R, et al. The carbon footprint of surgical operations: a systematic review. Ann Surg. 2020;272:986–95.

51. Esmaeili A, McGuire C, Overcash M, et al. Environmental impact reduction as a new dimension for quality measurement of healthcare services: the case of magnetic resonance imaging. Int J Health Care Qual Assur. 2018;31:910–22.

52. Lenzen M, Malik A, Li M, et al. The environmental footprint of health care: a global assessment. Lancet Planet Health. 2020;4:e271–9.

53. England N. Carbon emissions: carbon foot printing study. Sustainable Development Commission; 2008.

54. HM Government. Net zero strategy: build back greener. Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. 2023 (cited 2025 Jan 9). Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/6194dfa4d3bf7f0555071b1b/net-zero-strategy-beis.pdf

55. Plötz P, Wachsmuth J, Gnann T, et al. Net-zero-carbon transport in Europe until 2050—targets, technologies and policies for a long-term EU strategy. Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI; 2021 (cited 2025 Jan 9). Available from: https://www.isi.fraunhofer.de/en.html

56. Wilkinson E. NHS in England on track to hit first-year carbon reduction target. BMJ. 2021;375:n2466.

57. Perry H, Reeves N, Ansell J, et al. Innovations towards achieving environmentally sustainable operating theatres: a systematic review. Surgeon. 2023;21:141–51.

58. Guetter CR, Williams BJ, Slama E, et al. Greening the operating room. Am J Surg. 2018;216:683–8.

59. Drew J, Christie SD, Tyedmers P, et al. Operating in a climate crisis: a state-of-the-science review of life cycle assessment within surgical and anesthetic care. Environ Health Perspect. 2021;129:076001.

60. Vergunst F, Berry HL, Rugkåsa J, et al. Applying the triple bottom line of sustainability to healthcare research—a feasibility study. Int J Qual Health Care. 2020;32:48–53.

61. Almukhtar A, Batcup C, Bowman M, et al. Barriers and facilitators to sustainable operating theatres: a systematic review using the Theoretical Domains Framework. Int J Surg. 2024;110:554–68.

62. French H. Blueprint for reducing, reusing, recycling. AORN J. 1994;60:94–8.

63. Hubbard RM, Hayanga JA, Quinlan JJ, et al. Optimizing anesthesia-related waste disposal in the operating room: a brief report. Anesth Analg. 2017;125:1289–91.

64. Lin J, Pai JY, Chen CC. Applied patent RFID systems for building reacting HEPA air ventilation system in hospital operation rooms. J Med Syst. 2012;36:3399–405.

65. McCarthy CJ, Gerstenmaier JF, O'Neill AC, et al. "EcoRadiology"—pulling the plug on wasted energy in the radiology department. Acad Radiol. 2014;21:1563–6.

66. Burrell R. Primum non nocere: greening anaesthesia. Anaesth Intensive Care. 2018;46:630–1.

67. Wormer BA, Augenstein VA, Carpenter CL, et al. The green operating room: simple changes to reduce cost and our carbon footprint. Am Surg. 2013;79:666–71.

68. McGain F, Story D, Lim T, et al. Financial and environmental costs of reusable and single-use anaesthetic equipment. Br J Anaesth. 2017;118:862–9.

69. MacNeill AJ, Lillywhite R, Brown CJ. The impact of surgery on global climate: a carbon footprinting study of operating theatres in three health systems. Lancet Planet Health. 2017;1:e381–8.

70. Azouz S, Boyll P, Swanson M, et al. Managing barriers to recycling in the operating room. Am J Surg. 2019;217:634–8.

71. Moya E, Bryant SA, Horneck N, et al. Advancing sustainability in healthcare: a scoping review of global recycling practices in operating rooms. Am J Surg. 2024;116178.

72. Amariglio A, et al. Waste management in an Italian hospital's operating theatres: an observational study. Am J Infect Control. 2021.

73. Wyssusek K, et al. The volume of recyclable polyethylene terephthalate plastic in operating rooms—a one-month prospective audit. Am J Surg. 2020.

74. Braschi C, et al. The impact of waste reduction in general surgery operating rooms. Am J Surg. 2025.