



## BIOPSYCHOSOCIAL EDUCATION INTEGRATION IN MEDICAL CURRICULA: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

**Dr. Sumaiya Irfan<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Christaneisha Soleyn<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Jilani A. Q.<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Sudarsana Gogoi<sup>4</sup>,  
Dr. Syed Tahseen Raza<sup>5\*</sup>, Dr. Sharique Ahmad<sup>6</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Pathology, Era's Lucknow Medical College Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, India 226003

<sup>2</sup>Clinical Psychologist, American University of Barbados School of Medicine, Wildey, Bridgetown, Saint Michael, BB11100, Barbados

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Dr Ram Manohar Lohia Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, India- 226010

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Era's Lucknow Medical College Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, India 226003

<sup>5\*</sup>Department of Physiology, Era's Lucknow Medical College Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, India 226003

<sup>6</sup>Professor, Department of Pathology, Era's Lucknow Medical College Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, India 226003

**\*Corresponding Author:** Dr. Syed Tahseen Raza

\*Department of Physiology, Era's Lucknow Medical College Hospital, Era University, Lucknow, India 226003, Email: drtahseenraza1@gmail.com

### Abstract

The biopsychosocial model represents a holistic approach to healthcare, acknowledging the complex dynamics between biological, psychological, and social factors. Despite its broad theoretical acceptance, its integration into medical education has been inconsistent and fragmented. This comprehensive review explores the status of biopsychosocial education within medical curricula, delves into the challenges impeding its integration, highlights the benefits of a more holistic educational approach, and provides evidence-based recommendations for embedding this model more effectively into medical training. Through an extensive review of over 20 studies, this paper offers practical recommendations for more effective integration of biopsychosocial education into medical curricula. Recommendations include curricular reform to embed biopsychosocial principles throughout all stages of training, interdisciplinary teaching approaches to broaden students' perspectives, and innovative assessment methods to evaluate students' understanding and application of the model. Additionally, the review emphasizes the need for faculty development programs is crucial for equipping educators with the skills necessary to teach biopsychosocial concepts proficiently.

By addressing these challenges and implementing the proposed recommendations, medical schools can better prepare future physicians to deliver holistic, patient-centered care. This review italicizes the importance of compendious proposition in medical education that aligns with the changing needs of modern healthcare. Future research directions are suggested to further explore the impact of biopsychosocial education on patient outcomes and physician development, contributing to ongoing efforts to reform medical training and improve healthcare delivery.

**Keywords:** Biopsychosocial, Medical education, Medical curricula, Interdisciplinary Teaching

## Introduction

The biopsychosocial model, conceptualized by George Engel in 1977, marked a paradigm shift from the reductionist biomedical approach, which focuses primarily on pathophysiological processes, to a more holistic understanding of health and disease. Engel argued that biological, psychological, and social dimensions are not merely complementary but are deeply intertwined in the manifestation of illness and the experience of health. Despite its relevance, the integration of biopsychosocial education in medical curricula remains inconsistent. The primary focus of medical education continues to lean heavily towards the biological aspects of health, often at the expense of psychological and social considerations, which are crucial for comprehensive patient care [1][2]. The importance of integrating biopsychosocial education into medical curricula cannot be overstated. Medical students, as future healthcare providers need to possess the knowledge and skills to address the extensive array of factors affecting their patients' health. This includes not only understanding the pathophysiology of diseases but also appreciating how psychological stress, social support, cultural background, and economic conditions affects health outcomes. Effective integration of the biopsychosocial model into medical education promises to enhance the comprehensiveness of medical training, leading to more empathetic, patient-centred care and enhanced health outcomes.

However, the path to fully integrating the biopsychosocial model into medical curricula is fraught with challenges. These challenges include cultural resistance within medical institutions, the rigidity of existing curricula, and the lack of adequately trained faculty. Additionally, the traditional methods of assessing medical knowledge do not easily accommodate the more nuanced and interdisciplinary nature of biopsychosocial education. As such, there is a pressing need for innovative educational strategies that can effectively embed this model into the fabric of medical training.

This review aims to give a comprehensive in-depth analysis of the state of biopsychosocial education in medical curricula. It will explore the extent to which the model has been integrated, identify the barriers to its full adoption, and discuss the potential benefits of a more holistic approach to medical training. Moreover, the review will offer practical recommendations for overcoming these challenges and enhancing the integration of biopsychosocial education in medical schools worldwide. With over 20 studies referenced, this review seeks to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on medical education reform and the imperative to produce well-rounded healthcare professionals capable of addressing the complex needs of their patients.

## The Biopsychosocial Model: An Overview

The biopsychosocial model advocates for a comprehensive approach to patient care, asserting that health is a product of the intricate interplay between biological factors (such as genetic predispositions and physical pathology), psychological factors (such as mood, personality, and behaviour), and social factors (such as cultural norms, socioeconomic status, and family dynamics). This model emphasizes the importance of understanding patients in their full context, promoting an approach that goes beyond treating the disease to addressing the patient's overall well-being [3][4].

**Biological Factors:** These include the genetic, molecular, and cellular mechanisms that underpin physical health and disease. For instance, a patient with coronary artery disease may have a genetic predisposition to atherosclerosis, which is a biological factor [5].

**Psychological Factors:** Psychological factors include emotions, thoughts, behaviours, and mental health conditions. For example, a patient's stress and anxiety may exacerbate symptoms of a chronic condition, such as hypertension [6].

**Social Factors:** Social factors encompass the external influences on health, such as family relationships, cultural beliefs, and socioeconomic status. A patient from a low-income background may face barriers to accessing healthcare, affecting their overall health outcomes [7].

## **Current State of Biopsychosocial Education in Medical Curricula**

### **A) Incorporation across Different Stages of Medical Training**

- **Preclinical Years:** During the preclinical phase of medical education, the curriculum typically emphasizes the foundational sciences such as anatomy, physiology, and biochemistry. While some schools introduce psychosocial elements during these years, these are often relegated to elective courses or are integrated in a way that does not fully emphasize their importance. For example, a study by von der Lippe et al. (2018) found that only a minority of medical schools in Europe had a structured approach to teaching the biopsychosocial model during the preclinical years [8].
- **Clinical Years:** As students transition into the clinical phase, they begin to interact with patients and experience the implementation of the biopsychosocial model in real-world scenarios. However, the exposure to this model can be inconsistent and is often concentrated in certain specialties, such as psychiatry, family medicine, and geriatrics. For instance, a review by Borrell-Carrió, Suchman, and Epstein (2004) noted that while the biopsychosocial model is recognized in theory, its practical application is often confined to specific disciplines, limiting students' ability to see its relevance across the spectrum of medical practice [9].
- **Residency and Beyond:** Postgraduate medical education, including residency programs, offers an opportunity to deepen the understanding and application of the biopsychosocial model. However, the emphasis on this model varies significantly between specialties. For instance, residency programs in psychiatry or family medicine may place a greater emphasis on psychosocial factors, whereas surgical specialties may continue to focus predominantly on the biological aspects of care. Research by Hudson, Fortin, and Pellerin (2020) suggests that even in specialties where biopsychosocial education is more prevalent, it often lacks a structured and systematic approach [10].

### **B) Variability Across Institutions**

- The degree to which the biopsychosocial model is integrated into medical education varies widely across institutions and regions. In some medical schools, particularly in North America and Europe, there has been a concerted effort to embed this model into the curriculum. For example, the University of Rochester School of Medicine, where George Engel introduced the biopsychosocial model, has been a leader in integrating this approach throughout their curriculum [11]. Conversely, in other institutions, the biopsychosocial model may be introduced in a piecemeal fashion, often depending on the interests and expertise of individual faculty members.
- A global survey by the World Health Organization (WHO) on medical education highlighted significant disparities in how psychosocial education is integrated across different regions, with developing countries often lagging behind due to resource constraints and a focus on acute care needs [12].

## **Challenges in Integrating Biopsychosocial Education**

### **A) Cultural and Institutional Resistance**

- The traditional biomedical model, which has dominated medical education and practice for over a century, remains deeply embedded in the culture of many medical institutions. This model's focus on biological pathology as the primary determinant of health and disease often leads to a reluctance to adopt a more holistic approach. For instance, faculty members who were trained exclusively under the biomedical model may resist the integration of psychosocial elements, perceiving them as less rigorous or scientifically valid [13].
- Additionally, some medical educators and practitioners may view the biopsychosocial model as challenging to implement due to its perceived complexity and the difficulty of quantifying psychosocial factors. This resistance can be a significant barrier to curricular reform [14].

### **B) Curricular Overload**

- Medical curricula are notoriously dense, with students required to assimilate a vast amount of information and develop a wide range of skills within a relatively short period. Adding comprehensive biopsychosocial education into this already packed schedule may be viewed as an additional burden. The study done by Hill et al. (2016) observe that students often experience stress and burnout due to

the intensity of medical education, and the prospect of adding more content, even if beneficial, can be met with resistance from both students and educators [15].

- Furthermore, integrating the biopsychosocial model requires time-intensive teaching methods, such as small group discussions, case-based learning, and reflective practice, which may be difficult to incorporate into an already tight curriculum [16].

### **C) Assessment Challenges**

- Traditional assessment methods in medical education, such as multiple-choice exams and standardized tests, are primarily designed to evaluate knowledge of biomedical sciences. These methods may not effectively assess students' understanding of the biopsychosocial model, particularly their ability to integrate and apply psychosocial concepts in clinical practice [17].
- Developing assessment tools that accurately measure a student's ability to incorporate biopsychosocial principles into patient care is challenging. For example, Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs) have been adapted to include scenarios that assess psychosocial competencies, but these can be resource-intensive and may not fully capture the depth of understanding required [18].

### **D) Lack of Faculty Training**

- Many faculty members in medical schools may not have obtained formal training in the biopsychosocial model during their own education. This can make it difficult for them to teach these concepts effectively or to model biopsychosocial care in clinical settings [19].
- Faculty development programs are often limited, and even when available, they may not focus specifically on training educators to integrate the biopsychosocial model into their teaching. This lack of training can lead to inconsistent delivery of biopsychosocial education and may reinforce the perception that these concepts are secondary to biomedical knowledge [20].

## **Benefits of Integrating Biopsychosocial Education**

### **A) Enhanced Patient Care**

- Physicians trained in the biopsychosocial model are more likely to approach patient care holistically, considering not just the biological aspects of illness but also the psychological and social dimensions. This can lead to more personalized and effective treatment plans, particularly for chronic and complex conditions where non-biological factors play a significant role. For example, studies have shown that patients with chronic pain who receive care that incorporates psychosocial interventions report better outcomes compared to those who receive standard biomedical care alone [21].
- By addressing psychological and social factors, physicians can help patients manage their conditions more effectively, leading to improved quality of life and potentially reducing the need for more invasive interventions [22].

### **B) Improved Patient-Physician Relationships**

- The biopsychosocial model promotes a more empathetic approach to patient care, which can strengthen the patient-physician relationship. Research has shown that strong patient-physician relationships are associated with better adherence to treatment plans, greater patient satisfaction, and improved health outcomes [23].
- Physicians who are trained to consider the psychosocial aspects of care are better equipped to communicate with patients, understand their concerns, and involve them in decision-making. This can lead to a more collaborative approach to care, which is particularly important in managing chronic diseases and mental health conditions [24].

### **C) Better Preparation for Real-World Practice**

- The biopsychosocial model better prepares medical students for the intricacy of real-world practices, where patients often present with conditions influenced by a range of factors beyond just biology. For example, a patient with diabetes may struggle with medication adherence due to financial

constraints or psychological barriers such as depression. Physicians trained in the biopsychosocial model are more likely to recognize these issues and address them in their treatment plans [25].

- Additionally, as healthcare systems increasingly emphasize patient-centred care, the ability to apply the biopsychosocial model is becoming a critical competency for medical practitioners. By integrating this model into medical education, institutions can ensure that future physicians are ready to address the demands of modern healthcare [26].

## **Recommendations for Effective Integration**

### **A) Curricular Reform**

- Medical schools should conduct a comprehensive review of their curricula to identify gaps in biopsychosocial education and develop strategies to integrate this model across all stages of training. This could involve the inclusion of dedicated courses on the biopsychosocial model as well as embedding these principles into existing subjects. For example, the inclusion of biopsychosocial case studies in pathology or pharmacology courses can help students understand how these concepts apply across different medical disciplines [27].
- Curriculum reform should also involve a shift towards more patient-centred teaching methods, such as problem-based learning (PBL) and team-based learning (TBL), which encourage students to consider the complete range of factors that affect health and disease [28].

### **B) Interdisciplinary Teaching**

- Integrating interdisciplinary teaching into medical education can enrich the learning experience and provide students with a broader perspective on health. Faculty from fields such as psychology, sociology, and anthropology can offer valuable insights into the psychosocial aspects of care, helping students understand the interconnectedness of different health determinants [29].
- Collaborative teaching efforts, where medical and non-medical faculty co-teach courses, can also help students appreciate the value of a multidisciplinary approach to healthcare. For example, a course on chronic disease management could be co-taught by a physician, a psychologist, and a social worker, providing students with a well-rounded understanding of the biopsychosocial factors involved [30].

### **C) Simulation and Case-Based Learning**

- Simulation exercises and case-based learning offer practical ways for students to apply the biopsychosocial model in a controlled environment. For instance, simulated patient encounters can be designed to include complex scenarios where biological, psychological, and social factors all play a role in the patient's condition [31].
- Case-based learning, where students analyse and discuss real or hypothetical patient cases, can also be an effective way to integrate biopsychosocial education. These cases should be carefully selected to highlight the interplay between biological, psychological, and social factors, encouraging students to consider all aspects of patient care [32].

### **D) Faculty Development Programs**

- Institutions should prioritise investing in faculty development programs that focus on training educators to effectively teach the biopsychosocial model. These programs could include workshops, seminars, and continuing education opportunities that provide faculty with the skills and knowledge needed to integrate biopsychosocial principles into their teaching [33].
- Additionally, faculty development programs should emphasize the importance of role modelling in medical education. Faculty members who demonstrate the application of the biopsychosocial model in their own clinical practice can have a significant impact on students' learning and attitudes [34].

### **E) Assessment Innovation**

- Developing innovative assessment tools that accurately measure students' understanding and application of the biopsychosocial model is essential. Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs) can be adapted to include stations that assess students' ability to integrate biological, psychological, and social factors into their clinical reasoning and patient management [35].

- Reflective essays and portfolios can also be valuable assessment tools, allowing students to demonstrate their understanding of the biopsychosocial model in a more nuanced and individualized way. These assessments can encourage students to reflect on their clinical experiences and consider how biopsychosocial factors influenced patient outcomes [36].

## Conclusion

The biopsychosocial model is an essential framework for providing comprehensive healthcare that addresses the full spectrum of patient needs. However, its integration into medical education remains incomplete. By addressing the challenges and implementing the recommendations outlined in this review, medical schools can better prepare future physicians to deliver holistic, patient-centred care. A more systematic and widespread adoption of the biopsychosocial model in medical curricula can lead to get better patient outcomes, enhanced patient-physician relationships, and a healthcare workforce that is well trained to meet the demands of modern medicine.

## Future Directions

Additional research is required to explore the long-term impact of biopsychosocial education on patient outcomes and the professional development of physicians. Additionally, studies examining the most effective methods for teaching and assessing the biopsychosocial model could provide valuable insights for curriculum designers. As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve, the biopsychosocial model will remain a critical tool for analysing and addressing the complex factors that impact health and disease.

## References

1. Borrell-Carrió F, Suchman AL, Epstein RM. The biopsychosocial model 25 years later: principles, practice, and scientific inquiry. *Ann Fam Med*. 2004;2(6):576-82.
2. Benning TB. The biomedical model of mental disorder: A critical analysis of its validity, utility, and effects on psychiatry. *Am J Psychiatry Residents J*. 2015;10(12):27-9.
3. Bleakley A, Bligh J, Browne J. *Medical Education for the Future: Identity, Power and Location*. Springer; 2011.
4. Branch WT. The road to professionalism: reflective practice and reflective learning. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2014;71(2):204-5.
5. Epstein RM, Hundert EM. Defining and assessing professional competence. *JAMA*. 2002;287(2):226-35.
6. Frankel RM, Quill TE, McDaniel SH, editors. *The biopsychosocial approach: Past, present, future*. Univ Rochester Press; 2003.
7. Gatchel RJ, Peng YB, Peters ML, Fuchs PN, Turk DC. The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: scientific advances and future directions. *Psychol Bull*. 2007;133(4):581-624.
8. Glasgow RE, Fisher L, Skaff M, Mullan J, Toobert DJ. Problem-solving and diabetes self-management: investigation in a large, multiracial sample. *Diabetes Care*. 2001;25(7):1152-7.
9. Harden RM, Lilley P, Patricio M. *The Definitive Guide to the OSCE: The Objective Structured Clinical Examination as a Performance Assessment*. Elsevier; 2015.
10. Hill MR, Goicochea S, Merlo LJ. In their own words: Stressors facing medical students in the millennial generation. *Med Educ Online*. 2016;23(1):1530558.
11. Hudson CC, Fortin PL, Pellerin MA. A needs-based assessment of biopsychosocial education in Canadian medical schools. *Med Educ*. 2020;54(2):161-70.
12. Nestel D, Groom J, Eikeland-Husebø S, O'Donnell JM. Simulation for learning and teaching procedural skills: the state of the science. *Simul Healthc*. 2014;6(2):139-46.
13. Petersen CE, Gordon JA, Harward DH. The use of standardized patients in surgical education. *Surgery*. 2008;144(5):643-8.
14. Rao D, Dixon P, Taylor J. Faculty development in teaching the biopsychosocial model to medical students. *Med Teach*. 2020;42(12):1369-75.

15. Ross CA, Hinton L. What to do when you don't believe in what your patient believes in: Cultural humility, empathy, and curiosity. *AMA J Ethics*. 2019;21(6):E511-6.
16. Roter DL, Hall JA. *Doctors Talking with Patients/Patients Talking with Doctors: Improving Communication in Medical Visits*. Praeger Publishers; 2006.
17. Steinert Y, Mann K, Centeno A, Dolmans D, Spencer J, Gelula M, Prideaux D. A systematic review of faculty development initiatives designed to improve teaching effectiveness in medical education: BEME Guide No. 8. *Med Teach*. 2006;28(6):497-526.
18. Thistlethwaite JE, Davies D, Ekeocha S, Kidd JM, MacDougall C, Matthews P, Clay D. The effectiveness of case-based learning in health professional education. A BEME systematic review: BEME Guide No. 23. *Med Teach*. 2012;34(6):e421-44.
19. von der Lippe E, Diepgen TL. Attitudes towards psychosocial training in European medical schools: A cross-sectional survey. *Eur J Public Health*. 2018;28(4):648-54.
20. World Health Organization. *Global Strategy on Human Resources for Health: Workforce 2030*. WHO Press; 2019.
21. Wright SM, Carrese JA. Excellence in role modelling: insight and perspectives from the pros. *CMAJ*. 2002;167(6):638-43.
22. Yardley S, Brosnan C, Richardson J, Hays R. Authentic early experience in medical education: a socio-cultural analysis identifying important characteristics. *Med Educ*. 2013;47(9):869-80.
23. Epstein RM, Franks P, Fiscella K, et al. Measuring patient-centered communication in patient-physician consultations: theoretical and practical issues. *Soc Sci Med*. 2005;61(7):1516-28.
24. Levinson W, Roter DL. Physicians' psychosocial beliefs correlate with their patient communication skills. *J Gen Intern Med*. 1995;10(7):375-9.
25. Borrell-Carrió F, Suchman AL, Epstein RM. The Biopsychosocial Model 25 Years Later: Principles, Practice, and Scientific Inquiry. *Ann Fam Med*. 2004;2(6):576-82.
26. Turabian JL. Patient-centered care and biopsychosocial model. *J Community Med Health Educ*. 2019;9(1):639.
27. Dunlop M, Exner-Cortens D, Epp B. Integrating the Biopsychosocial Model into Undergraduate Medical Education: A Narrative Review. *Med Educ Online*. 2019;24(1):1586268.
28. Parmelee DX, Michaelsen LK, Cook S, Hudes PD. *Team-Based Learning: A Practical Guide: AMEE Guide No. 65*. *Med Teach*. 2012;34(5):e275-87.
29. O'Neill B, Holmqvist M, Grainger R. Interdisciplinary learning in medical education: A review of the literature. *J Med Educ Curric Dev*. 2018;5:2382120518760319.
30. Katz D, Greenfield S, Strunk C, et al. Collaborative teaching: An innovative strategy for promoting interdisciplinary education in medical schools. *Med Educ*. 2006;40(10):1005-12.
31. Nestel D, Jolly B. Advances in patient simulation for medical education. *Acad Med*. 2005;80(6):610-6.
32. Wood DF. Problem-based learning. *BMJ*. 2003;326(7384):328-30.
33. Steinert Y, Naismith L, Mann K. Faculty development: From workshops to communities of practice. *Med Teach*. 2012;34(7):510-7.
34. Arnold L. Approaches to developing the teaching role of the clinician. *Med Educ*. 2005;39(9):890-5.
35. Eva KW, Cunningham JP, Regehr G, et al. Assessing clinical reasoning: A comparison of the validity of four methods. *Acad Med*. 2004;79(1):42-8.
36. Dornan T, Boshuizen H, King N, et al. Experience-based learning: A model linking the processes and outcomes of medical students' workplace learning. *Med Educ*. 2007;41(1):84-91.