

Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

RESEARCH ARTICLE DOI: 10.53555/jptcp.v31i5.6137

THE ROLE OF CORONARY BYPASS SURGERY IN REDUCING MORTALITY AND ENHANCING QUALITY OF LIFE AMONG HEART DISEASE PATIENTS

Dr. Adel Moideen¹, Safiyyah Mukarram Khan², Anurang Rawat³, Dr. Roohullah Amin Safi⁴, Preeti Batheja⁵, Indresh Yadav⁶, Mohamad A. Khedari⁷, Tawseef Ahmad^{8*}

 ¹Senior Resident, Dr. D.Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Pune, India Department of Nephrology, Email: adel.moideen@gmail.com
 ²Physician, Department of Internal Medicine, India, Email: Safiyyahkhan03@gmail.com
 ³Professor of Cardiology, Department of Cardiology, Himalayan Institute of Medical Science, India, Email: anuragrwt@gmail.com
 ⁴House officer, Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences Jamshoro, Pakistan, Email: roohulaminsafi@gmail.com
 ⁵Senior Registrar (FCPS Cardiac Surgeon), Department of Cardiac Surgery in NICVD, Karachi, Pakistan Email: preetibatheja12345@gmail.com
 ⁶MBBS, Department of Internal Medicine, Samar Hospital and Research Center, Nepal, Email: yindresh372@gmail.com
 ⁷Doctor, Md Department of Internal Medicine, USA, Email: mohamadalkhudari@icloud.com
 ⁸Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Prince of Songkla University, Hat-Yai, 90110, Thailand, Email: tausifsafi95@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author: Tawseef Ahmad

*Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Prince of Songkla University, Hat-Yai, 90110, Thailand, Email: tausifsafi95@gmail.com

Abstract:

Background: Cardiovascular diseases are a predominant cause of mortality in industrialized nations, contributing to approximately 30% of deaths and imposing substantial economic burdens on healthcare systems. Coronary heart disease, a major contributor to cardiovascular mortality, necessitates effective management strategies to improve patient outcomes and quality of life.

Objective: This study aims to assess the role of coronary bypass grafting (CABG) in treating coronary heart disease, evaluating its success rates, complications, and the decision-making process involved in selecting appropriate graft types for individual cases.

Methods: We conducted a documentary bibliographic review focusing on the theoretical aspects of coronary bypass surgery. The data collection was primarily executed through electronic databases such as PubMed and Google Scholar, utilizing health sciences descriptors and MESH terms. The

collected literature will undergo a thorough review to extract relevant information about the effectiveness and challenges of CABG.

Results: Preliminary findings underscore the crucial role of CABG in reducing mortality rates, extending patient longevity, and enhancing the quality of life for those suffering from coronary heart disease. Despite the complexity and risks associated with the surgical procedure, the success rate remains high. The choice of graft type, a critical component of the surgical strategy, varies based on individual patient conditions and has significant implications for outcomes.

Conclusion: Coronary bypass grafting stands as a pivotal intervention in cardiovascular medicine, especially for managing severe coronary heart disease. While the procedure is technically demanding and associated with various challenges, its high success rate and the significant improvement in patient outcomes justify its continued use as a treatment modality. Future research should focus on optimizing graft selection processes and minimizing surgical risks to enhance patient outcomes further.

Keywords: mortality, surgery, bypass, graft, and coronary.

INTRODUCTION:

In industrialized nations, cardiovascular diseases account for about 30% of deaths and are among the leading causes of death globally. In addition to causing death and disability, cardiovascular illnesses have a significant financial cost to society and the health insurance system. Numerous elements have been identified as contributing to the pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease. Gender, age, and genetic components are unavoidable and uncontrollable factors. Conversely, factors like diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension (HTN), obesity, smoking, hyperlipidemia (HLP), and psychological components are modifiable and controllable (Gaudino et al., 2020).

The most prevalent kind of heart illness is called coronary artery disease, cardiac ischemia, or ischemic heart disease. A partial or total blockage of the arterial lumen causes the heart muscle (myocardium) to become less able to receive blood, which can lead to ischemic diseases like angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, sudden cardiac death, and cardiac arrhythmias. Given its high level of complexity, heart surgery is among the riskiest and most challenging procedures. Most of the time, instruments that can forecast function or performance during vascular surgery are required. In recent years, cardiac surgeons and cardiologists have made using predictive algorithms to evaluate surgical risk in cardiac surgery, particularly in coronary revascularization interventions, a routine part of their everyday practice (Saraiva et al., 2020).

To improve perfusion of possibly hypoperfused organs or tissues due to artery obstruction, the bypass entails a blood bypass between two arterial segments that an afflicted segment has stopped. An international consensus is taken into consideration because it is crucial to choose the revascularization approach based on the assessment of the lesion's morphological severity and extension: Lesions are categorized by the TransAtlantic Inter-Society Consensus (TASC II) according to their form and extension. Four categories: A, B, C, and D. Lesions of types "A and B" respond better to endovascular therapy, whereas lesions of types "C and D" respond better to surgical intervention (Mullany, 2003; Saraiva et al., 2020).



Figure 1. Surgery for a coronary artery bypass graft (CABG).

Acute coronary syndromes can have one to 2.4 cases of coronary artery dissection, making it an uncommon occurrence. Seventy percent of reports are derived from necropsy examinations. Since the first case of a 42-year-old patient who passed away unexpectedly was reported in 1931, there have been about 300 cases published in total (Velazquez et al., 2011).

METHODOLOGY:

The research methodology employed for this study is a component of a documentary bibliographic review pertaining to theoretical topics like coronary bypass surgery. The electronic resources used in the data-gathering process include PubMed and Google Scholar. These resources rely on applying MESH terms or descriptors in the health sciences. The data collected here will be reviewed for further examination (Seshadri et al., 2002).

RESULT:

kinds of bypass

- Based on whether anastomosis is proximal or distal
- Axillary femur, unilateral or bilateral
- Popliteal Axillus
- Aorto-unilateral or bilateral femur
- Iliac femoral
- Went over ring roads
- Popliteal Femur
- Distal femur
- Based on where it is anatomically:
- Sub-tubercular (tuberculations in the anatomy)
- Subcutaneous or supra-aponeurotic procedures (mostly venous and distal bypasses)
- Based on the substance utilized:
- Venous: The internal saphenous vein, which can be evaluated or reversed. Doppler ultrasonography reveals the wall's tiny patches of lesions and abnormalities. Due to their extensibility, they typically have two- or three-phase flows (Gaudino et al., 2020).
- Prosthetic: Dacron has a permeability of 67% after five years. Structure made of corrugations –
 Greater stiffness, low porosity fabric. Closer to the wavy pattern.

Less stiffness and a mesh with high porosity. More flexible mesh. More wavy and open structure. Permeability of PTFE (65% after five years). Is it ringed or not? Expanded Teflon in two layers covering the ring—dual-line wall. The rings are employed in places that bend or compress, and they stop kinking. Since the plan does not have three phases, the one without rings is inflexible (Saraiva et al., 2020).

Surgery for coronary revascularization indications

Patients who visit hospitals often have cardiac difficulties due to a variety of symptoms. The following patients exhibit these symptoms, according to the author:

- 25 to 35 percent of patients had left main coronary artery disease.
- Individuals with 55.9–60.9% had a myocardial infarction.
- Congestive heart failure patients (14.2% to 18.4%).
- Surgery that is urgent or emergency (39 to 51.6%).
- (35 to 51%) Surgery following coronary intervention.

Stated differently, the sort of individuals with coronary heart disease will determine whether or not this procedure is carried out. These can manifest as single- or dual-vessel disease with proximal involvement of the anterior descending artery, three-vessel disease, and left main coronary artery disease. There are several anatomical circumstances in which surgery is the best course of action, even though the indications for surgery vary based on the experience of the center and the patient's characteristics (Gaudino et al., 2021). Include the following:

- The left coronary artery trunk obstruction.
- Two or three primary coronary arteries are proximally obstructed (particularly if the anterior descending artery is impacted).
- Anterior descending artery proximal blockage, which prevents coronary angioplasty from being done.

Patients who have diabetes or heart failure, in addition to these blockages, are typically good candidates for this kind of surgery (McNichols et al., 2021).

Procedure for surgery

- One form necessitates the assistance of extracorporeal circulation, which includes transferring, oxygenating, and reintroduction the patient's blood into their body. This allows for replacing cardiopulmonary function with a machine that performs grafts in a stable, blood-free environment.
- A second option is to use stabilizers to do the grafts while the heart is still beating (off-pump operations). The recently created procedure is more beneficial for high-risk individuals and is still a viable alternative today. It was developed recently. The patient typically recovers quicker with this alternative since it allows for a shorter stay in the inpatient department and intensive care unit (ICU) (Sipahi et al., 2014).

kinds of transplants

Mammary artery inside the body (IMA)

It is classified as type I and is distinguished from muscle fibers by having a higher proportion of elastic fibers. This lowers the likelihood of a spasm by improving its ability to adjust to the severity of the coronary lesion. Similarly, it responds more favorably to vasodilators (mostly endothelium relaxing agents) and less favorably to vasoconstrictors. Compared to saphenous vein grafts, the long-term effects of IMA use have been shown to have a lower incidence of ischemia-related death, heart attack, angina, and reoperation. Moreover, the third decade following surgery showed a clear difference in patency, as shown by the mammary artery use (Dieberg et al., 2016).

Artery radial (RA)

RA is a muscular or type III artery group member, distinguished by an increased density of leiomyocytes in the intermediate layer. In reaction to mechanical stimulation, these arteries display a vasoconstrictive and spastic response. The current improved outcomes of RA are largely attributed to modifications in dissection technique and more cautious graft maintenance. Dissection is carried out

as a pedicle graft to minimize direct manipulation and prevent enlargement, along with the venous bundle. Compared to vein grafts, arterial grafts have better long-term patency. Ease of extraction and manipulation: resistant wall, favorable qualities for aortic and coronary anastomosis, and a diameter comparable to that of the coronary arteries (Solo et al., 2019).

Adaptable: apply to the IMA as a free or composite graft. Enough length to reach every region of the heart. Minimal morbidity linked to its removal. RA extraction is made safe by the proactive use of the Allen test, which examines the patency of the ulnar artery and palmar arch. It is quite uncommon for ischemia problems to manifest. When complications arise, the most common ones are temporary paresthesias in the first finger and hematomas in the surgical area of the dissection (Favaloro, 1998).

The sapphire vein (SV)

Despite all the evidence gathered about the benefits of employing arterial grafts, the left ventricular remains the most commonly used transplant worldwide. It is estimated that VS is used for about 80% of all anastomoses. Low permeability was found to be the primary issue after it was first used as a transplant in the medium to long term. Despite these findings, the VS is still the most popular graft for several reasons, some of which are worth mentioning: it is very easy to dissect and utilize, it is very long and readily available, and it is very versatile because of the physiology of the venous system, which means that it is not (Benedetto et al., 2015).

It does not let the competing flow affect it and has a propensity to spasm. Permeability 80–90% in the first year following surgery. In the first to fifth years following surgery: 70–85% permeability. Patency between the fifth and tenth postoperative year: 50–60% (atherosclerosis preexists in 50% of cases). Years following surgery: 20–25% permeability (Deppe et al., 2016).



Figure 2: Anterior descending artery saphenous vein graft and The treatment of left ventricular aneurysms

DIFFICULTIES:

The issue of surgical site infections (SSI) in patients having heart surgery is quite significant. These illnesses can impact everything from the epidermis and subcutaneous tissue to deeper structures like the mediastinum. When it first appears, the patient often has to stay in the hospital longer and may even risk their lives. The majority of research has indicated that the incidence of SSI following heart surgery varies from 1 to 10%. SSI risk might vary depending on several factors, including length of surgery and prior medical history.

Moreover, major side effects from heart surgery include arrhythmias, of which atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most prevalent. Despite advancements in anesthetic and surgical methods, atrial fibrillation in

the postoperative period following cardiac surgery (FAPO) remains prevalent, with incidences ranging from 30 to 40% in coronary interventions and up to 64% in valve operations. These results remain unchanged. Important (Ahmad et al., 2020).

SUGGESTIONS:

However, new blockages may develop on rare occasions, either in the ducts themselves or in coronary arteries that were not injured during the bypass. It is crucial that you adhere to your surgeons' and cardiologists' instructions for the management of cardiovascular risk factors to minimize the likelihood that this may occur (Schmidt-RioValle et al., 2020):

- A nutritious diet low in saturated and animal fats
- Control of blood pressure and diabetes
- Complete abstinence from tobacco
- Relative abstinence from alcohol (following your cardiologist's or general practitioner's advice)
- Engage in aerobic activity. (Fundación Jiménez Díaz, no date)

CONCLUSION:

Given the high death rate linked to several diseases that might develop as a result of heart disease, coronary heart disease is still quite common internationally. The surgical procedure known as coronary artery bypass grafting, or coronary bypass, is complex and delicate and is not without complications. Still, the success rate is high, and there are various types of grafts with excellent results, the choice of which will depend on each case. This surgical technique has been essential in reducing mortality rates and prolonging the lives of patients suffering from these conditions, giving them a better quality of life.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Ahmad, Y., Howard, J. P., Arnold, A. D., Cook, C. M., Prasad, M., Ali, Z. A., Parikh, M. A., Kosmidou, I., Francis, D. P., & Moses, J. W. (2020). Mortality after drug-eluting stents vs. coronary artery bypass grafting for left main coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. European Heart Journal, 41(34), 3228-3235.
- 2. Benedetto, U., Raja, S. G., Albanese, A., Amrani, M., Biondi-Zoccai, G., & Frati, G. (2015). Searching for the second best graft for coronary artery bypass surgery: a network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, 47(1), 59-65.
- Deppe, A.-C., Arbash, W., Kuhn, E. W., Slottosch, I., Scherner, M., Liakopoulos, O. J., Choi, Y.-H., & Wahlers, T. (2016). Current evidence of coronary artery bypass grafting off-pump versus on-pump: a systematic review with meta-analysis of over 16 900 patients investigated in randomized controlled trials. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, 49(4), 1031-1041.
- 4. Dieberg, G., Smart, N. A., & King, N. (2016). On-vs. Off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Cardiology, 223, 201-211.
- 5. Favaloro, R. G. (1998). Landmarks in the development of coronary artery bypass surgery. Circulation, 98(5), 466-478.
- 6. Gaudino, M., Benedetto, U., Fremes, S., Ballman, K., Biondi-Zoccai, G., Sedrakyan, A., Nasso, G., Raman, J., Buxton, B., & Hayward, P. A. (2020). Association of radial artery graft vs saphenous vein graft with long-term cardiovascular outcomes among patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Jama, 324(2), 179-187.
- Gaudino, M., Samadashvili, Z., Hameed, I., Chikwe, J., Girardi, L. N., & Hannan, E. L. (2021). Differences in long-term outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting using single vs multiple arterial grafts and the association with sex. JAMA cardiology, 6(4), 401-409.

- 8. McNichols, B., Spratt, J. R., George, J., Rizzi, S., Manning, E. W., & Park, K. (2021). Coronary artery bypass: review of surgical techniques and impact on long-term revascularization outcomes. Cardiology and Therapy, 10, 89-109.
- 9. Mullany, C. J. (2003). Coronary artery bypass surgery. Circulation, 107(3), e21-e22.
- Saraiva, F. A., Leite-Moreira, J. P., Barros, A. S., Lourenco, A. P., Benedetto, U., & LeiteMoreira, A. F. (2020). Multiple versus single arterial grafting in coronary artery bypass grafting: A metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials and propensity score studies. International Journal of Cardiology, 320, 55-63.
- 11. Schmidt-RioValle, J., Abu Ejheisheh, M., Membrive-Jiménez, M. J., Suleiman-Martos, N., Albendín-García, L., Correa-Rodríguez, M., & Gómez-Urquiza, J. L. (2020). Quality of life after coronary artery bypass surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(22), 8439.
- 12. Seshadri, N., Whitlow, P. L., Acharya, N., Houghtaling, P., Blackstone, E. H., & Ellis, S. G. (2002). Emergency coronary artery bypass surgery in the contemporary percutaneous coronary intervention era. Circulation, 106(18), 2346-2350.
- 13. Sipahi, I., Akay, M. H., Dagdelen, S., Blitz, A., & Alhan, C. (2014). Coronary artery bypass grafting vs percutaneous coronary intervention and long-term mortality and morbidity in multivessel disease: meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials of the arterial grafting and stenting era. JAMA Internal Medicine, 174(2), 223-230.
- Solo, K., Lavi, S., Kabali, C., Levine, G. N., Kulik, A., John-Baptiste, A. A., Fremes, S. E., Martin, J., Eikelboom, J. W., & Ruel, M. (2019). Antithrombotic treatment after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ, 367.
- Velazquez, E. J., Lee, K. L., Deja, M. A., Jain, A., Sopko, G., Marchenko, A., Ali, I. S., Pohost, G., Gradinac, S., & Abraham, W. T. (2011). Coronary artery bypass surgery in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. New england journal of medicine, 364(17), 1607-1616.