The article by Rawson and Chhabra in this issue of the *Journal of Population Therapeutics and Clinical Pharmacology* argues that the Ontario Drug Benefit Formulary should not use cost savings as a rationale for funding drugs for off-label use unless there are no other products available to treat a serious condition. In this particular instance, the authors are warning against using bevacizumab as opposed to ranibizumab for retinal conditions. The basis for their warning is the apparent safety difference between the two drugs and the fact that the former has not been approved by Health Canada for treating retinal problems. However, the first reason is contested ground. A 2014 Cochrane review of non-industry funded randomized controlled trials concluded there was no difference between intravitreal bevacizumab and ranibizumab for deaths, all serious system adverse events (SSAEs), or specific subsets of SSAEs in the first two years of treatment, with the exception of gastrointestinal disorders. If the results of the Cochrane review are valid, then any additional risk of an adverse event from using bevacizumab may be minimal to nonexistent. When there is strong evidence for off-label use as there is for bevacizumab and retinal conditions then the risk of an adverse drug event is the same as for on-label use.

The latter rational introduces the issue of how drugs reach the Canadian market. In this respect, Health Canada, as with all other national drug authorities, is a passive agent, in the sense that it waits until a company submits a drug for approval. Without the application from a company there is no drug to evaluate or to approve. In the case of bevacizumab and ranibizumab, both drugs are owned by Genentech; the one-year cost of the former is $580 per patient, while the one-year cost of the latter is $6,720 per patient. Drug companies sponsor virtually 100% of the trials for new drugs and new indications for existing drugs. It makes no economic sense for Genentech to run a trial to look at the safety and efficacy of bevacizumab for retinal conditions. If the trial was successful Genentech would be cannibalizing its market for ranibizumab, a drug that earns it almost 12 times as much revenue.

Finally, the sponsorship of clinical trials raises the point that not all “evidence” arising from these trials is equal. A Cochrane review, of which I was one of the authors, looked at the results and conclusions of clinical trials sponsored by drug and device companies versus all other sponsors. Industry sponsored studies more often had favourable efficacy results and conclusions compared to studies with any other type of sponsorship.

Companies control what indications are considered by regulatory authorities and what evidence is available to those authorities. Indications chosen by companies and validated by trials that companies control should not be the basis for deciding how public money is spent.
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