



MENTAL HEALTH PARAMETERS AND SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS OF ELDERLY INMATES AT BHOPAL CENTRAL JAIL: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

Amit Das^{1*}, Vishvajeet Dutta², Piyali Saha³, Sagar joshi⁴, Sonal⁵, Oscar Donald Obediah⁶

^{1*} Asst. Prof., Department of Mental Health Nursing, Meridian Nursing & Paramedical College, Varanasi tecworm.ad@gmail.com

²Project Scientist-II, Indian Council of Medical Research- National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research (ICMR-NICPR), vishvajeetdutta001@gmail.com

³Asst. Prof., Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Meridian Nursing & Paramedical College, Varanasi sahapiyali2016@gmail.com

⁴Nursing tutor, Government Nursing College, Haldwani (Nainital) subujoshi1994@gmail.com

⁵Community health officer, Paniya, Pati (Champawat) supadhyay645@gmail.com

⁶Asst. Prof, Department of Mental Health Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, oscar.obediah12@gmail.com

***Corresponding Author:** Amit Das

* Asst. Prof., Department of Mental Health Nursing, Meridian Nursing & Paramedical College, Varanasi tecworm.ad@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: The global prison population of 11.7 million includes a growing elderly demographic facing unique mental health challenges. India's prison system, with 130.2% occupancy rate, presents particularly concerning conditions for elderly inmates.

Objective: To assess mental health parameters including depression, cognitive impairment, and quality of life among elderly inmates (≥ 60 years) at Bhopal Central Jail and examine their relationship with sociodemographic characteristics.

Methods: A cross-sectional correlational study was conducted among 201 elderly inmates using standardized instruments including Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Geriatric Depression Scale-Hindi (GDS-H), and WHO Quality of Life-BREF. Data analysis included descriptive statistics, chi-square tests, and multiple regression analysis.

Results: Of 201 participants, 83.6% were male with mean age 65.2 years. Depression prevalence was significant (59.4% moderate to severe), cognitive impairment affected 60.2% (severe: 6.3%, moderate: 40.6%), and 64.1% reported good quality of life despite challenges. Murder/homicide cases constituted 43.8% of charges, with 28.4% serving life imprisonment. Significant associations were found between socioeconomic status and cognitive function ($p < 0.001$), contact frequency and depression ($p = 0.024$), and educational level with cognitive impairment ($p < 0.001$).

Conclusions: Elderly inmates demonstrate substantial mental health burden requiring targeted interventions. Quality of life maintenance despite adversity suggests resilience factors that warrant further investigation.

Keywords: Elderly inmates, prison mental health, cognitive impairment, depression, quality of life, correctional healthcare

Introduction

The World Health Organization emphasizes "no health without mental health," yet correctional facilities worldwide struggle to provide adequate mental healthcare, particularly for vulnerable elderly populations. India's prison system, housing 554,034 inmates in facilities designed for 425,609, faces a critical challenge with its aging inmate demographic.

The prevalence of elderly inmates has increased globally, presenting unique healthcare challenges within correctional settings. These individuals face compounded vulnerabilities including pre-existing health conditions, social isolation, and the psychological impact of prolonged incarceration. James Tooley's seminal work on Indian prison conditions highlighted the particular plight of undertrial prisoners, with approximately 70% of India's prison population awaiting trial as of 2016. Mental health disorders among elderly inmates encompass depression, cognitive impairment, and reduced quality of life. Depression affects functionality and mortality risk, while cognitive impairment may range from mild difficulties to severe dementia. Quality of life, as defined by WHO, reflects individual perceptions within cultural and value systems, making it particularly relevant in correctional contexts where environmental factors significantly impact well-being.

Despite growing recognition of geriatric mental health needs, services remain poorly implemented even within India's National Mental Health Program framework. This study addresses the critical gap in understanding mental health parameters among elderly inmates in Indian correctional facilities.

Methodology

Study Design and Setting

A quantitative cross-sectional correlational study was conducted at Bhopal Central Jail, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Participants

The study included 201 inmates aged 60 years and above. Simple random sampling was employed to ensure representative selection.

Instruments

- **Sociodemographic questionnaire:** Self-structured tool capturing age, gender, education, marital status, social contacts, children, grandchildren, and legal characteristics
- **Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE):** Cognitive assessment tool
- **Geriatric Depression Scale-Hindi (GDS-H):** Depression screening instrument adapted for Hindi-speaking populations
- **WHO Quality of Life-BREF (Hindi version):** Quality of life assessment across five domains: Overall health (OVL), Physical health (PH), Psychological well-being (PSY), Environmental factors (EVT), and Social relations (SR)

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS. Descriptive statistics, chi-square tests for associations, and multiple regression analysis were conducted. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

Demographic Characteristics

Table 1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Study Participants (N=201)

Characteristic	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	107	83.6
Female	21	16.4
Age Groups		
44-62 years	58	45.7

63-81 years	65	51.2
82-100 years	4	3.1
Education		
No Education	59	46.1
Primary	52	40.6
High School and above	17	13.3
Marital Status		
Spouse Alive	80	63.5
Spouse Deceased	44	34.9
Unmarried/Divorced	2	1.6
Social Contact		
Frequent	46	35.9
Moderate	19	14.8
Rare/None	63	49.2
Number of Children		
0-2 Children	52	40.6
3-4 Children	58	45.3
5-7 Children	18	14.1
Number of Grandchildren		
0-2 Grandchildren	82	64.1
3-4 Grandchildren	35	27.3
5 and Above	11	8.6
Socioeconomic Background		
Urban	64	50.0
Rural	64	50.0

Health and Legal Characteristics

Table 2: Health Conditions and Legal Status (N=201)

Characteristic	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Comorbidities		
Multiple Comorbidities	33	16.4
Cardiac Disorder	22	10.9
Neurological Disorder	14	7.0
Vision/Hearing Impairment	17	8.5
No Comorbidity	5	2.5
IPC Sections		
Murder/Homicide	88	43.8
Sexual Offenses	11	5.5
Financial/Property	11	5.5
Drug Offenses	4	2.0
Other/Miscellaneous	11	5.5
Imprisonment Term		
Life Imprisonment	57	28.4
Medium term (5-14 years)	45	22.4
Long term (15-25 years)	10	5.0
Short term (<5 years)	8	4.0
Case Pending	8	4.0

Mental Health Parameters

Table 3: Mental Health Assessment Results (N=201)

Parameter	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Cognitive Status (MMSE)	Normal Cognition	43	33.6
	Mild Cognitive Impairment	25	19.5
	Moderate Cognitive Impairment	52	40.6
	Severe Cognitive Impairment	8	6.3
Depression (GDS-H)	No Depression	23	18.0
	Moderate Depression	57	44.5
	Severe Depression	48	37.5
Quality of Life	Poor QOL	6	4.7
	Moderate QOL	58	45.3
	Good QOL	64	50.0

Statistical Associations

Table 4: Significant Chi-Square Test Results

Variables	Chi-Square (χ^2)	df	p-value	Interpretation
Socioeconomic Status $\times$ Cognitive Status	17.947	3	<0.001	Highly Significant
Education $\times$ Cognitive Status	50.284	15	<0.001	Highly Significant
Contact Frequency $\times$ Depression	11.283	4	0.024	Significant
Socioeconomic Status $\times$ Depression	6.820	2	0.033	Significant
Comorbidities $\times$ Quality of Life	34.750	18	0.010	Significant
IPC Section $\times$ Depression	20.403	10	0.026	Significant

Regression Analysis

Table 5: Multiple Regression Analysis - MMSE Predictors

Predictor	B	SE	β	t	p-value
Constant	13.003	2.168	-	5.998	<0.001
Overall Health (OVL)	-0.278	0.353	-0.090	-0.788	0.432
Physical Health (PH)	0.067	0.139	0.056	0.479	0.633
Psychological (PSY)	0.392	0.182	0.288	2.152	0.033
Environmental (EVT)	0.117	0.130	0.127	0.901	0.369
Social Relations (SR)	-0.061	0.262	-0.025	-0.233	0.816

Model Summary: $R = 0.361$, $R^2 = 0.130$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.094$, $F(5,120) = 3.591$, $p = 0.005$

Table 6: Multiple Regression Analysis - Depression Predictors

Predictor	B	SE	β	t	p-value
Constant	19.843	2.295	-	8.647	<0.001
Overall Health (OVL)	-1.150	0.373	-0.357	-3.080	0.003
Physical Health (PH)	0.054	0.147	0.044	0.369	0.712
Psychological (PSY)	0.052	0.193	0.037	0.271	0.787
Environmental (EVT)	-0.053	0.138	-0.055	-0.385	0.701
Social Relations (SR)	0.244	0.278	0.094	0.880	0.380

Model Summary: $R = 0.333$, $R^2 = 0.111$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.074$, $F(5,120) = 2.994$, $p = 0.014$

Table 7: Multiple Regression Analysis - Quality of Life Predictors

Predictor	B	SE	β	t	p-value
Constant	54.546	6.832	-	7.983	<0.001
MMSE Score	0.865	0.250	0.291	3.466	0.001
Depression Score	-0.507	0.239	-0.178	-2.119	0.036

Model Summary: $R = 0.349$, $R^2 = 0.122$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.108$, $F(2,125) = 8.686$, $p < 0.001$

Table 8: Correlation Matrix - Mental Health Parameters and QOL Domains

Variable	MMSE	Depression	Overall Health	Physical Health	Psychological	Environmental	Social Relations
MMSE	1.000	-0.055	0.174*	0.269**	0.355**	0.292**	0.181*
Depression	-0.055	1.000	-0.311**	-0.110	-0.154*	-0.165*	-0.014
Overall Health	0.174*	-0.311**	1.000	0.483**	0.580**	0.610**	0.314**
Physical Health	0.269**	-0.110	0.483**	1.000	0.641**	0.617**	0.411**
Psychological	0.355**	-0.154*	0.580**	0.641**	1.000	0.695**	0.478**
Environmental	0.292**	-0.165*	0.610**	0.617**	0.695**	1.000	0.579**
Social Relations	0.181*	-0.014	0.314**	0.411**	0.478**	0.579**	1.000

Note: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$

Key Findings

Demographic Profile

The study population was predominantly male (83.6%) with mean age in the 63-81 years range (51.2%). Educational levels were low, with 86.7% having primary education or less. Nearly half (49.2%) reported rare or no social contact, indicating significant social isolation.

Mental Health Burden

Depression prevalence was alarmingly high, with 82% showing moderate to severe depression. Cognitive impairment affected 66.4% of participants, ranging from mild to severe. Despite these challenges, 95.3% maintained moderate to good quality of life, suggesting remarkable resilience.

Legal and Incarceration Factors

Murder/homicide cases dominated (43.8%), with 28.4% serving life imprisonment. The high proportion of serious offenses may contribute to longer sentences and associated psychological impact.

Significant Associations

Rural inmates showed higher rates of severe cognitive impairment compared to urban inmates ($p < 0.001$). Lower educational attainment strongly correlated with cognitive impairment ($p < 0.001$). Inmates with frequent social contact demonstrated better mental health outcomes across all parameters.

Predictive Factors

The regression model revealed that cognitive function positively predicted quality of life ($\beta = 0.291$, $p = 0.001$), while depression negatively impacted it ($\beta = -0.178$, $p = 0.036$). The model explained 12.2% of quality of life variance.

Discussion

This study reveals a substantial mental health burden among elderly inmates at Bhopal Central Jail, consistent with international literature on correctional geriatric populations. The high prevalence of depression (82%) significantly exceeds community elderly populations, likely reflecting the cumulative stress of incarceration, social isolation, and legal uncertainty.

The finding that 66.4% of participants showed cognitive impairment warrants urgent attention. While some degree of cognitive decline occurs naturally with aging, the prison environment may accelerate this process through limited mental stimulation, chronic stress, and inadequate healthcare. The strong association between education and cognitive function ($p < 0.001$) suggests that preventive interventions focusing on cognitive stimulation could be beneficial.

Remarkably, despite significant mental health challenges, 95.3% of participants maintained moderate to good quality of life. This paradox suggests the presence of resilience factors and adaptation mechanisms that merit further investigation. The positive correlation between cognitive function and quality of life ($\beta = 0.291$, $p = 0.001$) emphasizes the importance of maintaining cognitive health in elderly inmates.

The association between social contact frequency and depression levels ($p = 0.024$) underscores the critical importance of maintaining family and community connections during incarceration. Prison policies should facilitate regular contact with family members and community support systems.

Implications for Prison Healthcare

These findings highlight the urgent need for:

1. **Specialized geriatric mental health services** within correctional facilities
2. **Regular cognitive screening and intervention programs** for elderly inmates
3. **Enhanced social support systems** including family contact facilitation
4. **Staff training** on geriatric mental health recognition and management
5. **Policy reforms** addressing the unique needs of aging prison populations

Study Limitations

This study's cross-sectional design limits causal inferences. The single-site nature may limit generalizability to other Indian correctional facilities. Additionally, the high missing data rate (36-37% for some variables) suggests potential selection bias.

Conclusions

Elderly inmates at Bhopal Central Jail demonstrate significant mental health challenges, with high rates of depression and cognitive impairment. However, their maintained quality of life suggests inherent resilience that could be leveraged in therapeutic interventions. The strong associations between social contact, education, and mental health outcomes provide clear targets for intervention programs.

This study contributes essential baseline data for developing evidence-based mental health services for elderly inmates in Indian correctional facilities. Future research should focus on longitudinal outcomes, intervention effectiveness, and resilience factors among this vulnerable population.

The findings support the urgent need for comprehensive geriatric mental health services within India's correctional system, aligning with constitutional rights to healthcare and the WHO's emphasis on mental health as fundamental to overall well-being.

Recommendations

1. **Immediate Actions:**
 - Implement routine mental health screening for all elderly inmates
 - Establish dedicated geriatric mental health services
 - Enhance family contact programs
2. **Medium-term Goals:**

- Develop cognitive stimulation programs
- Train correctional staff in geriatric mental health
- Create specialized housing units for elderly inmates with severe mental health needs

3. Long-term Objectives:

- Establish research partnerships for ongoing monitoring
- Develop national guidelines for elderly inmate care
- Advocate for policy reforms addressing aging prison populations

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the cooperation of Bhopal Central Jail administration and the participation of inmates who made this study possible. Special recognition to the healthcare staff who facilitated data collection while maintaining participant dignity and rights.

References

1. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. World Crime Trends and Emerging Issues and Responses in the Field of Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice. Vienna: UNODC; 2023.
2. National Crime Records Bureau. Prison Statistics India 2021. New Delhi: Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India; 2022.
3. Tooley J. My Experience in Indian Prison: A Perspective on Criminal Justice System. Mumbai: Academic Publishers; 2018.
4. World Health Organization. Mental Health and Older Adults. Geneva: WHO; 2017.
5. Prince M, Bryce R, Albanese E, et al. The global prevalence of dementia: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2013;9(1):63-75.
6. Government of India. National Programme for Health Care of the Elderly (NPHCE). New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; 2011.
7. Fazel S, Baillargeon J. The health of prisoners. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9769):956-65.
8. Maschi T, Marmo S, Han J. Pains of imprisonment and older adults: Implications for social work. *J Gerontol Soc Work*. 2014;57(6-7):556-77.
9. Williams BA, Stern MF, Mellow J, Safer M, Greifinger RB. Aging in correctional custody: setting a policy agenda for older prisoner health care. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102(8):1475-81.
10. Aday RH, Krabill JJ. Older and Geriatric Offenders: Critical Issues for the 21st Century. *J Correct Health Care*. 2016;22(3):298-305.
11. Fruehwald S, Frottier P, Matschnig T, Eher R. Suicide in custody: case-control study. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2004;185:494-8.
12. Choudhary S, Kallivayalil RA. Psychiatric morbidity among prisoners. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2017;59(4):460-3.
13. National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS). *Mind-Imprisoned: Mental Health Care in Prisons*. Bangalore: NIMHANS; 2011.
14. Srinivasan S. The elderly inmates in the Indian prisons – a psychosocial study. *Soc Sci Res Netw*. 2015. Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2704808
15. Khatana S, Agarwal A, Ranjan R. Health problems faced by elderly inmates in central prisons in India. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2021;8(12):5922-6.
16. Hayes AJ, Burns A, Turnbull P, Shaw JJ. The health and social needs of older male prisoners. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2013;28(6):683-94.
17. Di Lorito C, Völlm B, Denning T. Psychiatric disorders among older prisoners: a systematic review and comparison study against older people in the community. *Aging Ment Health*. 2018;22(1):1-10.
18. Kingston P, Le Mesurier N, Yorston G, Wardle S, Heath L. Psychiatric morbidity in older prisoners: unrecognized and untreated. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2011;23(8):1354-60.

19. Fovet T, Thomas P, Adins C, Amad A, Adeline D, Bensmail N, et al. Impact of incarceration on mental health: a comparison of inmates and the general population in France. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015;229(3):602-9.
20. Combalbert N, Pennequin V, Ferrand C, Armand M, Anselme M, Geiger M. Cognitive impairment, self-perceived health and quality of life of older prisoners. *Crim Behav Ment Health.* 2018;28(1):36-49.
21. Munday D, Leaman J, Turnbull P, Kingston P, Chaplin E, Yorston G, et al. The mental health and quality of life of older prisoners: the impact of a prison-based cognitive stimulation therapy program. *Aging Ment Health.* 2019;23(12):1610-8.
22. Brown RT. The potential of prison-based programs for older prisoners. *J Correct Health Care.* 2018;24(1):75-83.